The Leon Recanati Graduate School of Business Administration

1243.3551.01 – Power and Hierarchy in Organizations

(Prerequisites: Organizational Behavior)

First Semester – 2018/19

Section	Day	Hour	Exam date	Lecturer	Email	Telephone
01	Wednesday	15:45-18:30	As posted on the	Prof. Daniel Heller	dheller@post.tau.ac.il	6409739
	(Second half)		list of exams			

Teaching Assistant (TA): Oneg Shiloh onegsing@post.tau.ac.il

Office Hours: By appointment

Course Units

1 course unit = 4 ECTS units

The ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System) is a framework defined by the European Commission to allow for unified recognition of student academic achievements from different countries.

Course Description

Power is part of the reality of everyday life of any organization, whether a financial institution, a high-tech company, or a non-profit. Moreover, power is a key mechanism through which organizations operate. This course will enable students to learn and understand this complex mechanism, in order to help them navigate the processes of gaining and implementing power throughout their careers. In addition, we will discuss the effects of power and hierarchy on a variety of business phenomena such as decision making, risk taking, moral behavior, team performance, and job interview success. Classes are based on lectures, case studies, in-class exercises, student presentations, and videos.

Course Objectives

Upon completion of the course, the student will be able to:

- 1. Understand the basic power sources in organizations
- 2. Understand the positive and negative consequences of power
- 3. Understand and diagnose their own power in their work organization
- 4. Develop possible career paths for gaining power and to develop skills in using power

Evaluation of Student and Composition of Grade

Percentage	Assignment	Date	Group Size/Comments
5%	Class Exercises	Throughout the course	Submission of 2 out of 4 class exercises
25%	Senior Manager Analysis–	weeks 5 and 6	Groups of 5 students
	Presentations	0	
70%	Final Project – Junior	ТВА	Groups of 3 students
	Manager Interview		

* According to University regulations, participation in all classes of a course is mandatory (Article 5).

* Students who are absent from class or do not actively participate in class may be removed from the course at the discretion of the lecturer. (Students remain financially liable for the course even if they are removed.)

Course Assignments

* Assignments guidelines will be published at the beginning of the course *

Students who are unable to complete an assignment or course requirement must notify the TA of the course in advance via email

Grading Policy

In the 2008/9 academic year the Faculty instituted a grading policy for all graduate level courses that aims to maintain a certain level of the final course grade. Accordingly, the final average grade for this course (which is an elective) will be in the range of 83-87.

Additional information regarding this policy can be found on the Faculty website.

Evaluation of the Course by Student

Following completion of the course students will participate in a teaching survey to evaluate the instructor and the course, to provide feedback for the benefit of the students, the teachers and the university.

Course Site (Moodle)

The course site will be the primary tool to communicate messages and material to students. You should check the course site regularly for information on classes, assignments and exams, at the end of the course as well.

Course material will be available on the course site.

Please note that topics that are not covered in the course material but are discussed in class are considered integral to the course.

Course Outline*

*Subject to change

Week 1: Dec. 5		Power, not what you thought	
•	Pfeffer, J. (2010). Power Play. (cover story). Harvard Business Review, 88, 84-92.		
•	Gladwell, M. (2009). How David Beats Goliath: When Underdogs Break the Rules. New		
	Yorker, May 11, 2009.		
	http://www.newyorker.com/rep	orting/2009/05/11/090511fa_fact_gladwell	
•	Eagly, A. H., & Carli, L. L. (2007). Women and the labyrinth of leadership. Harvard Business		
	Review, 85, 62.		
We	eks 2-3: Dec. 12, Dec. 19	Paths to Power: Characteristics, behaviors, and	
		networking	
•	Carney, D. R., Cuddy, A. J., & Yap	, A. J. (2010). Power posing brief nonverbal displays affect	
	neuroendocrine levels and risk tolerance. Psychological Science, 21, 1363-1368.		
•	Hill, L. (1994). Power dynamics in organizations. Harvard Business School, 9, 1-13.		
•	Van Kleef, G. A., Homan, A. C., Finkenauer, C., Gündemir, S., & Stamkou, E. (2011). Breaking		
	the Rules to Rise to Power How Norm Violators Gain Power in the Eyes of Others. Social		
	Psychological and Personality Sci	ence, 2, 500-507.	
•	Kennedy, J. A., Anderson, C., & Moore, D. M. (2013). When overconfidence is revealed to		
	others: Testing the status-enhancement theory of overconfidence. Organizational Behavior		
	and Human Decision Processes, 122, 266-279.		
•	Cuddy, A. J., Kohut, M., & Neffinger, J. (2013). Connect, then Lead. Harvard Business Review,		
	<i>91</i> , 54-61.		
•	Grant, A. (2013). In the company of givers and takers. <i>Harvard Business Review</i> , 91, 90-97.		
•	Uzzi, B., & Dunlap, S. (2005). How to build your network. <i>Harvard Business Review, 83</i> , 53.		
•	Heidi Roizen - case study.		
•	Keith Ferrazzi-case study.		

Weeks 4-5: Dec. 26, Jan. 2	Senior Manager Analysis- Presentations				
Week 6: Jan. 9	Power Outcomes (theories, findings), Power Dynamics				
Outcomes					
	er, N. D., & Galinsky, A. D. (2012). Power and overconfident				
	decision-making. Organizational behavior and human decision processes, 117, 249-260.				
• See, K.E., Morrison, E.W., Roth	See, K.E., Morrison, E.W., Rothman, N.B., & Soll, J.B. (2011). The detrimental effects of				
power on confidence, advice ta	power on confidence, advice taking, and accuracy. Organizational Behavior and Human				
Decision Processes, 116, 272-28	Decision Processes, 116, 272-285.				
	Cuddy, A. J. C., Wilmuth, C., & Carney, D. R. (2015). Preparatory power poses enhance performance. <i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i> , <i>100, 1286-1295</i> .				
	V. (2007). High in the hierarchy: How vertical location and				
	judgments of leaders' power are interrelated. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision				
	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109, 4086-4091.				
•••	Pitesa, M. & Thau, S. (2013). Masters of the universe: How power and accountability				
	influence selfserving financial investment decisions under moral hazard. <i>Journal of Applied</i>				
Psychology, 98, 550–555.					
• Tost, L., Gino, F., & Larrick, R. P	. (2013). When power makes others speechless: The negative				
	n performance. Academy of Management Journal,				
<i>56,</i> 1465-1486.					
• Aime, F., Humphrey, S. E., DeRu	ue, D. S., & Paul, J. (2014). The riddle of heterarchy: Power				
transitions in cross-functional t	eams. Academy of Management Journal, 57, 327-352.				
Dynamics					
• Magee, J. C., & Galinsky, A. D. (2008). Social Hierarchy: The Self-Reinforcing Nature of Power				
and Status. The Academy of Management Annals, 2, 351-398.					
• Brion, S. & Anderson, C. (2013)	Brion, S. & Anderson, C. (2013). The Loss of Power: How Illusions of Alliance Contribute to				
Powerholders' Downfall. Organ	nizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 121, 129-				
139.					
• Marr, J.C. & Thau, S. (2014). Fal	lling from great (and not so great) heights: Status loss and				
performance in groups. Acader	ny of Management Journal, 57, 223–248.				
• Pfeffer chapters 11, 12.					
Weeks 7: Jan. 16	Final project preparation + Course summary				